Software Sustainability
An Annotated Bibliography

Patricia Lago[1] and Ivano Malavolta[1]

Software and Sustainability Research Group, Department of Computing Science,
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands
{p.lago|i.malavolta}@vu.nl

Version: October 2, 2025

Due to the diversity of research teams, experimental setups, methodological frameworks, tools, and the emerging nature of the field, navigating the Software Sustainability domain can be a daunting task. Here, we provide a conceptual compass to help readers navigate the landscape of Software Sustainability.

References

1. IASA Business Technology Architecture Body of Knowledge (BTABoK) on Sustainability Architecture, https://iasa-global.github.io/btabok/sustainability.html, This website is collecting, among other, architecture principles from industrial practice.
2. Danushi, O., Forti, S., Soldani, J.: Carbon-efficient software design and development: A systematic literature review. ACM computing surveys **57**(10), 1–35 (2025)
   This work by Danushi *et al.* provides a systematic literature review about existing guidelines, reference models, measurement solutions, and techniques related to the carbon footprint of software and a set of relevant research directions for future researchers in Software Sustainability.
3. Fatima, I., Lago, P.: Towards a sustainability-aware software architecture evaluation for cloud-based software services, pp. 200–216. Lecture notes in computer science, Springer Nature Switzerland (2024)
   This article presents a blueprint to provide guidance for sustainability-aware software architecture evaluations.
4. Fatima, I., Lago, P., Andrikopoulos, V., van der Waaij, B.: Using sustainability impact scores for software architecture evaluation. In: IEEE 22nd International Conference on Software Architecture Companion (ICSA-C). pp. 60–68. IEEE, https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/11014894
   This article presents a blueprint to quantify multi-dimensional sustainability indicators.
5. Font Vivanco, D., Freire-González, J., Galvin, R., Santarius, T., Walnum, H.J., Makov, T., Sala, S.: Rebound effect and sustainability science: A review. Journal of industrial ecology **26**, 1543–1563 (2022), http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13295
   Rebound effects (or Jevons paradox) are unexpected phenomena that negate the intended sustainability impact. This article presents a critical literature review of rebound effects in the context of sustainability science.

6. Guldner, A., Bender, R., Calero, C., Fernando, G.S., Funke, M., Groger, J., Hilty, L.M., Hornschemeyer, J., Hoffmann, G.D., Junger, D., Kennes, T., Kreten, S., Lago, P., Mai, F., Malavolta, I., Murach, J., Obergoker, K., Schmidt, B., Tarara, A., De Veaugh-Geiss, J., Weber, S., Westing, M., Wohlgemuth, V., Naumann, S.: Development and evaluation of a reference measurement model for assessing the resource and energy efficiency of software products and components-green software measurement model (GSMM). Future Generation Computer Systems **155**, 402–418 (2024). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2024.01.033

   In 2024, over 10 research groups in four countries came together to reconcile their visions of Software Sustainability, measurement models, technical setups, and research about how to assess the environmental impact of software. The result of this collaborative effort is the Green Software Measurement Model (GSMM), a framework (with guidelines) containing the essential elements for measuring software and to categorize existing measurement methods in the context of Software Sustainability.

7. Heldal, R., Nguyen, N.T., Moreira, A., Lago, P., Duboc, L., Betz, S., Coroamă, V.C., Penzenstadler, B., Porras, J., Capilla, R., Brooks, I., Oyedeji, S., Venters, C.C.: Sustainability competencies and skills in software engineering: An industry perspective. The Journal of systems and software **211**, 111978 (2024), https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0164121224000219

   To understand the knowledge needs of practitioners, Heldal *et al.* present a comprehensive survey of IT/sustainability practitioners from 28 distinct organizations in different industrial domains and 9 countries.

8. Hilty, L.: Computing Efficiency, Sufficiency, and Self-sufficiency: A Model for Sustainability? In: Computing with Limits. s.n. (2015), https://computingwithinlimits.org

   This article provides a general introduction in a relatively recent research line resembling de-growth (as opposed to unsustainable continuous growth) and called *digital sufficiency*, *i.e.,* investing in *less* technology as opposed to more technology that is optimized.

9. Huang, D., Qing, Y., Shang, W., Cui, H., Jie, M.Z.: EffiBench: Benchmarking the Efficiency of Automatically Generated Code (2024)

   This article presents a benchmark on the efficiency of (automatically-generated) software.

10. ISO/IEC: ISO/IEC 21031:2024 Information technology — Software Carbon Intensity (SCI) specification, https://www.iso.org/standard/86612.html, This standard presents a methodology for calculating the rate of CO_2 emissions for software systems.

11. Lago, P., Condori-Fernandez, N.: The sustainability assessment framework (SAF) toolkit: Instruments to help sustainability-driven software architecture design decision making. https://github.com/S2-group/SAF-Toolkit (Apr 2022), The Sustainability Assessment Framework (SAF) Toolkit is a set of concrete instruments developed to support software architects and design decision makers in modeling sustainability as a software quality property.

12. Lago, P., Condori Fernandez, N., Fatima, I., Funke, M., Malavolta, I.: The sustainability assessment framework toolkit: A decade of modeling experience. Springer Software & Systems Modeling **12**, 1–23 (2024)

   The SAF Toolkit is the result of more than a decade of case studies in collaboration with industrial partners.

13. Lago, P., Koçak, S.A., Crnkovic, I., Penzenstadler, B.: Framing sustainability as a property of software quality. Communications of the ACM **58**(10), 70–78 (2015)
This article introduced the four dimensions of sustainability as software quality concern. This is definitely the first and foremost reading for understanding how technical, social, economic, and environmental sustainability dimensions fit together.
14. Malavolta, I., Stoico, V., Lago, P.: Handbook on Teaching Empirical Software Engineering, chap. Ten Years of Teaching Empirical Software Engineering in the context of Energy-efficient Software, pp. 209–253. Springer (2024)
onsidering the increasing interest in the energy footprint of software systems and how to measure it, we point the interested reader to the reflections of Malavolta *et al.* on their 10 years of experience in teaching how to design and execute empirical experiments that measure software energy consumption among other quality concerns.
15. Penzenstadler, B., Seyff, N., Betz, S., Duboc, L., Porras, J., Chitchyan, R., Brooks, I., Oyedeji, S., Villela, K.B., Venters, C.C.: Vision paper: The sustainability awareness framework (susaf) as a de-facto standard? In: CEUR Workshop Proceedings. vol. 3378, p. 4. CEUR Workshop Proceedings (2023)
The Sustainability Awareness Framework (SusAF) is a tool for thinking through short, medium- and long-term impacts of socio-technical systems on its surrounding environment.
16. Peters, A.K., Capilla, R., Coroamă, V.C., Heldal, R., Lago, P., Leifler, O., Moreira, A., Fernandes, J.P., Penzenstadler, B., Porras, J., Venters, C.C.: Sustainability in computing education: A systematic literature review. ACM Transactions on Computing Education **24**(1), 1–53 (2024)
In this article, Peters *et al.* review the literature on higher education programs that teach sustainability competencies and skills in computing (including software engineering, among others) and synthesize the lessons into a reusable framework for educators who want to design new programs or courses.
17. Software and Sustainability (S2) Research Group, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam: An Archive of Awesome and Dark Tactics (2022), https://s2group.cs.vu.nl/AwesomeAndDarkTactics/, An Archive of Awesome and Dark Tactics (including tactics for sustainability and digital sufficiency).
18. Software Architecture for Software Services (SA4S) Lab, SERC, IIIT Hyderabad: ArchBench: LLMs for Software Architecture Tasks (2025), https://www.sabench.com, This website is focusing on building sustainable and self-adaptive software systems.